

### Motivation

Integrals are everywhere in physics

Some examples:

The kinetic energy- work theorem

$$\Delta K = K_f - K_i = \int_{-\infty}^{T} \vec{F} \cdot dt$$

Maxwell's equations, e.g., Gauss's law

$$\oint \vec{E} \cdot d\vec{A} = \frac{1}{\epsilon_0} q_{enq}$$

Fourier transforms

$$F(\omega) = \int_{\omega_i}^{\omega_f} e^{-2\pi\omega x} f(x) dx$$

3

### Exact integration

Standard techniques of integration:

- substitution rule, integration by parts, using identities, ...
- · Tables of integrals
- Computer algebra systems

# Methods for evaluating integrals

Integrals can be evaluated using

Part 1:

**Overview** 

- exact integration (analytically when possible)
- · simple numerical methods
- advanced numerical methods

We use numerical integration when

- if we cannot get an analytic answer using (many known functions, do not have an exact integral)
- the function f(x), which is to be integrated, may be a set of discrete data.

4

2















## Integrating approximating functions (cont.)

CASE 1: The function to be integrated is known only at a finite set of discrete points.

Parameters under control - the degree of approximating polynomial

CASE 2: The function to be integrated is known.

Parameters under control:

- · The total number of discrete points
- The degree of the approximating polynomial to represent the discrete data.
- · The locations of the points at which the known function is discretized

13

#### Integrating direct fit polynomials

- This procedure only relies having determined the coefficients on the interpolating function. In other words it does not depend on whether the original data was *uniformly spaced or not*.
- Because our interpolating routines assume the data can be described by polynomials, one can imagine that this only useful for distributions whose underlying functions are non-singular.

15

### **Riemann integral**

If f(x) is a continuous function defined for  $a \le x \le b$  and we divide the interval into n subintervals of equal width  $\Delta x = (b - a)/n$ , then the definite integral is

$$I = \int_{a}^{b} f(x) dx = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{i=0}^{n} f(x_{i}^{*}) \Delta x$$

Note, for a finite n, how well the Riemann sum approximates the integral depends on, among other things, how we write the discrete sums.

The Riemann integral can be interpreted as a net area under the curve y = f(x) from *a* to *b*.

Bernhard Riemann [1826-1866]

## Example: integrating direct fit polynomials

Imagine you have some discrete data in some region, and you have successfully found a polynomial to interpolate the data. In other words, you determine the values of the coefficient, such that

 $P_n(x) = a_0 + a_1 x + a_2 x^2 + \dots + a_k x^n = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i x^i$ 

Then, the integral in this region is "straightforward",

$$I = \int_{a}^{b} f(x) df \approx \int_{a}^{b} P_{n}(x) dx = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \int_{a}^{b} a_{i} x^{i} dx = \sum_{i=0}^{n} a_{i} \frac{x^{i+1}}{i+1} \Big|_{a}^{b}$$

14

Part 3:

# Methods based on Riemann sum equal subintervals

16

#### An area under the curve

Evaluating integrals exactly as an area under the curve is practically numerically impossible.

Solution: Replace this with the a "large number" of finite-sized and easyto-evaluate areas (e.g. rectangles), and have your code sum over these areas. Take the limit when these areas become increasingly small.

















**Riemann sum and ... interpolation** 

25

Let us talk about interpolation - linear interpolation Consider the first-order interpolation for the  $i^{th}$  subinterval:  $f(x) = f_{i-1} + \frac{f(x_i) - f(x_{i-1})}{\Delta x} (x - x_{i-1})$  Then, the integral for the  $i^{th}$  subinterval

 $\int_{a}^{b} f(x)dx = \int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_{i}} \left[ f_{i-1} + \frac{f(x_{i}) - f(x_{i-1})}{\Delta x} (x - x_{i-1}) \right] dx = \frac{f(x_{i}) + f(x_{i-1})}{2} \Delta x$ which is exactly the Trapezoidal approximation!

And for uniform spacing (subintervals)

$$\int_{a}^{b} f(x)dx \approx \frac{1}{2} \Delta x (f_{0} + 2f_{1} + 2f_{2} + \dots + 2f_{n-1} + f_{n})$$

27



# Let us talk about interpolation

It turns out that there is a connections between interpolations and the "Trapezoid rule".

This is perhaps evident by looking at the figure below.

It appears that the function is approximated by its linear interpolator, which is indeed the case.



26







Newton polynomials  $I = \int_{x_0}^{b} f(x) df \approx \int_{a}^{b} P_n(x) dx$ The integral requires that the approximating polynomial be an explicit function of *x*, whereas the polynomial from the slide before is implicit in *x*. However, the integral can be transformed into an explicit function of *s*.  $s = \frac{x - x_0}{h}, \quad x = x_0 + sh, \quad dx = hds$   $I = \int_{a}^{b} f(x) df \approx \int_{a}^{b} P_n(x) dx = h \int_{s(a)}^{s(b)} P_n(s) ds$ For polynomial order *n* we integrate from *a* = *x*<sub>0</sub> to *b* = *x*<sub>0</sub> + *nh*, then  $\Delta I = h \int_{0}^{n} P_n(x_0 + sh) ds$ 

33



## Newton polynomials

The direct fit polynomial procedure requires a significant amount of effort in the evaluation of the polynomial coefficients. When the function to be integrated is known at equally spaced points, the Newton difference polynomial can be fit to the discrete data with much less effort.

Newton polynomials on equally spaced intervals

$$P_{n}(x) = f_{0} + s\Delta f_{0} + \frac{s(s-1)}{2!}\Delta^{2}f_{0} + \frac{s(s-1)(s-2)}{3!}\Delta^{3}f_{0} + \dots$$

$$+ \frac{s(s-1)(s-2)\dots(s-(n-1))}{n!}\Delta^{n}f_{0} + Error$$
here  $s = \frac{x-x_{0}}{\Delta x} = \frac{x-x_{0}}{h}, \ x = x_{0} + sh$ 

$$error = \left(\frac{s}{n+1}\right)h^{n+1}f^{n+1}(\xi), \quad x_{0} \le x \le x_{n}$$
Isaac Newton, 1643 - 1727

32

wl Ei



# Newton-Cotes: 1<sup>st</sup> degree polynomial – error estimate

The error estimation can be done by integrating the error term in the polynomial.

For a single interval the local error is

$$Error = h \int_0^1 \frac{s(s-1)}{2} h^2 f''(\zeta) ds = -\frac{1}{12} h^3 f''(\zeta) = O(h^3)$$

The total error for equally spaced data is given by

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} -\frac{1}{12}h^3 f^{\prime\prime}(\zeta) = n\left(-\frac{1}{12}h^3 f^{\prime\prime}(\zeta)\right)$$

since  $n = (x_n - x_0)/h$ , the Total/Global Error is

$$-\frac{1}{12}(x_n - x_0)h^2 f''(\zeta) = O(h^2)$$

# Newton-Cotes: 1st degree polynomial – error estimate

Having the global error estimate

$$-\frac{1}{12}(x_n - x_0)h^2 f''(\zeta) = O(h^2)$$

we can predict how small h must be to achieve a specified tolerance using the trapezoid rule

Example: Let's specify the tolerance as  $0.5 \times 10^{-4}$  for the integral

$$\int_{0}^{1} e^{-x^{2}} dx$$

 $f(x) = e^{-x^2}, f'(x) = -2xe^{-x^2}, f''(x) = (4x^2 - 2)e^{-x^2}$ Thus,  $|f''(x)| \le 2$  on the interval [0,1], and the error in absolute will be no greater than  $h^2/6$ . Then

$$\frac{1}{12}h^2 \cdot 2 \le \frac{1}{2}10^{-4}, \qquad h \le 0.01732$$

37



39

### Simpson's 1/3 rule vs. Simpson's 3/8 rule

Both rules have the same order for local error

$$Error_{\frac{1}{3}} = -\frac{1}{90}h^5 f^{\prime\prime\prime\prime}(\zeta$$

$$Frror_3 = -\frac{3}{80}h^5 f''''(\zeta)$$

The coefficient in the local error for 1/3 rule is even less than in the local error in 3/8 rule. Hence, Simpson's 1/3 rule should be more accurate.

Is there any value to use Simpson's 3/8 rule?

The 3/8 rule is useful when the total number of intervals is odd. Three intervals can be evaluated by the 3/8 rule and the remaining even number of intervals can be evaluated by the 1/3 rule.

But, normally, it is not worth doing it.

Newton-Cotes: 
$$2^{nd}$$
 degree polynomial  
Simpson's 1/3 rule = a second degree polynomial for two increments  
(three equally spaced points). The upper limit of integration for a single  
interval is the  $s = 2$   
 $\Delta I \approx h \int_0^2 \left( f_0 + s\Delta f_0 + \frac{s(s-1)}{2!} \Delta^2 f_0 \right) ds = \frac{h}{3} (f_0 + 4f_1 + f_2)$   
where we have used:  $\Delta f_0 = (f_1 - f_0)$ ,  $\Delta^2 f_0 = f_2 - 2f_1 + f_0$   
Applying this to all intervals we get  
 $I = \frac{h}{3} [f_0 + 4f_1 + 2f_2 + \dots + 2f_{n-2} + 4f_{n-1} + f_n]$   
This is again Simpson's rule!  
The factor of 1/3 defines the name for "Simpson's 1/3 rule".  
The total number of intervals must be even.

38

Sir

int

ΔΙ

wł

1 =

# Newton-Cotes: 3rd degree polynomial Simpson's 3/8 rule = a third degree polynomial for four equally spaced points. The upper limit of integration for a single interval is s = 3 $\Delta I \approx h \int_{0}^{3} \left( f_{0} + s \Delta f_{0} + \frac{s(s-1)}{2!} \Delta^{2} f_{0} + \frac{s(s-1)(s-2)}{3!} \Delta^{3} f_{0} \right) ds$ Using $\Delta^3 f_0 = f_3 - 3f_2 + 3f_1 - f_0$ we get $\Delta I = \binom{3}{8}h(f_0 + 3f_1 + 3f_2 + f_3)$ $I = \frac{3h}{8} [f_0 + 3f_1 + 3f_2 + 2f_3 + 3f_4 + \dots + 3f_{n-1} + f_n]$ The factor of 3/8 defines the name for "Simpson's 3/8 rule". The total number of intervals must be f(x) P<sub>3</sub>(x a multiple of three

The error estimation gives (again!) Global error  $O(h^4)$  as in the Simpson's 1/3 rule.

40

#### Higher-order Newton-Cotes formulae

Numerical integration formulas based on equally spaced increments are called Newton- Cotes formulas. The first 10 Newton-Cotes formulas are presented in Abramowitz and Stegun (1964)\*. Newton-Cotes formulas can be expressed in the general form:

$$I = \int_{a}^{b} f(x)dx = n\beta h(\alpha_0 f_0 + \alpha_1 f_1 + \dots) + Error$$

where n denotes both the number of increments and the degree of the polynomial,  $\beta$  and  $\alpha_i$  are coefficients

\* Abramowitz and Stegun "Handbook of Mathematical Functions with Formulas, Graphs, and Mathematical Tables" (multiple editions) see section 25 "Numerical analysis"



Integrating error			
Generally as N increases, the precision of a method increases			
However, as $N$ increases, the round-off error increases			
Some evaluations (not exact but gives an idea)*:			
Number of steps for highest accuracy:			
trapezoid rule	steps	error	
single precision	631	3*10-6	
double precision	106	10-12	
Simpson's rule	steps	error	
single precision	36	6*10 <sup>-7</sup>	

45

double precision



2154

\* see details in R.H. Landau & M.J.Paez, An Introduction to Computational Physics

5\*10<sup>-14</sup>



## Other view on numerical integration

Quadrature is weighted sum of finite number of sample values of integrand function

	$\int_{a}^{b} f$	$(x)dx \approx \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i f(x_i)$	$\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \operatorname{August}_1 & \operatorname{August}_2 & \operatorname{August}_3 & \operatorname{August}_4 & Au$
Then			
Rule	degree	weights	global error
Trapezoid rule	n=1	$w_i = \begin{pmatrix} h & h \\ 2 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$	$O(h^2)$
Simpson's 1/3 rule	n=2	$w_i = \left(\frac{h}{3}, \frac{4h}{3}, \frac{h}{3}\right)$	$O(h^4)$
Simpson's 3/8 rule	n=3	$w_i = \left(\frac{3h}{8}, \frac{9h}{8}, \frac{9h}{8}, \frac{3h}{8}\right)$	$O(h^4)$
Bool's rule	n=4	$w_i = \left(\frac{14h}{45}, \frac{64h}{45}, \frac{24h}{45}, \frac{64h}{45}, \frac{14}{45}, \frac{14}{45$	$\left(\frac{hh}{5}\right) = O(h^6)$

44

#### Integrating error (cont.)

The best numerical evaluation of an integral can be obtained with a relatively small number is sub-intervals ( $N \sim 1000$  or much less) (not with  $N \rightarrow \infty$ )

It is possible to get an error close to machine precision with Simpson's rule and with other higher-order methods (Newton-Cotes quadratures)



### **Extrapolation and Romberg integration**

Key idea – use the error estimation by evaluating the integral using two different interval sizes, one with *h* and a second with a finer value of h/R where R > 1. We label these as I(h) and I(h/R).

As one can expect, the difference between these can give us an estimate of the correction that needs to be added to I(h/R) so as to more accurately estimate the integral.



49



51

### **Extrapolation and Romberg integration**

The error estimate can be used both for error control and extrapolation.

Consider a numerical algorithm which approximates an exact calculation with an error that depends on an increment,  $\boldsymbol{h}$ 

 $f_{exact} = f(h) + Ah^n + Bh^{n+m} + Ch^{n+2m} + \cdots$ 

where *n* is the order of the leading error term and *m* is the increment in the order of the following error terms. Applying the algorithm at two increment sizes,  $h_1 = h$  and, gives  $h_2 = h/R$  gives

$$f_{exact} = f(h) + Ah^n + O(h^{n+m})$$

$$f_{exact} = f(h/R) + A(h/R)^n + O(h^{n+m})$$

then, the difference

 $0 = f(h) - f(h/R) + Ah^{n} - A(h/R)^{n} + O(h^{n+m})$ 

50

#### Extrapolation and Romberg integration (cont.)

When extrapolation is applied to numerical integration by the trapezoid rule, the result is called Romberg integration.

It can be shown that the error of the composite trapezoid rule has the functional form (the leading term is with n = 2)

 $Error = C_1h^2 + C_2h^4 + C_3h^3 + \cdots$ 

Let's apply the trapezoid rule for h/2 (R = 2)

 $Error(h/2) = \frac{1}{2^2 - 1} [I(h/2) - I(h)]$ 

Applying the extrapolation formula gives

Extrapolated value = 
$$I(h/2) + Error(h/2) + O(h^4) =$$

Extrapolated value =  $I(h/2) + \frac{1}{2^2 - 1} [I(h/2) - I(h)] + O(h^4)$ 

Thus we increased the accuracy of the trapezoid rule.

52

### Summary

- 1. Numerical integration is mostly based on interpolation (not on Riemann sum definition).
- The Newton-Cotes formulas, which are based on Newton forwarddifference polynomials, give simple integration formulas for equally spaced data.
- 3. Besides, Newton-Cotes formulae provide error estimates.